

Monday
3-9-15 10:35 AM

1

Idle Cuba Story

✓

- Cruise starts for ^{me} Oct-22
JFK

Come

✓

- He started for ExCom Oct 16

✓

JFK

For K - Oct 14 - departure
~~not~~

but unknown to bin Ross Mungoose (EG4)

○

Report station... X people

assass. - Hovary [EC2 - McN - Wilson
Monitoring - 300 missions into Cuba.

✓

K had expected invasion since C-I.
+ be covered!

C-I²

[N vs. JFK on C-I

"Am people might come" - N is by 6 lines

Centre - socialist

Mikoyan - (can feel)

✓

K THREAT ^{of ICBMs} - total bluff (4 ICBMs)
C-I

3-9-15

2

"Crisis / pre"

control:

did operate,
at least of

- coalition in Laos

- failure in Cuba

-

(VFK - no commitment in his own mind

not to ~~commit~~ to attack or invade Cuba

once war is over there as

- not to commit US units to combat

ops in Cuba ("aggression") is current op
in C-I (VCS/CIA*)

[Mr. N, the? "you want us"]

(Australia?)

- as to SUM - Oct-Nov 61 (VCS)
+ crisis

Perforce failed

but not present

- as to Laos in April 1961

(same time as C-I)

- not to fight on Berlin

- Wall

- tanks

(Bolshevik)

Helmut

and speech

(BUFF)

action to VFK

get National out:

no control

Wanted to force backed

perhaps?; know on map?

Perhaps? (VFK?) see C-I!

* see UK in Aug: couldn't imagine they would

have to stop along Canal! UK plans to me.

3-9-15

3

Notionally in C-I

1. Count of (plausible lies / evidence
protect from us / Cover story
(from aggression (mainly
or failure crisis)

2. Campaign of 1960: N v. JFK
(will be known?)

US sphere

N lies - illegal - YES

6A

Darkness

3. JCS decides pres: "Can work, if ... (inparably)
CIA " " (debates in

process.

Horizontal

US interests

4. JFK determined not ... + sticks to it.

5. has unavailable - FU

JFK distrusts

"by next C-I, has ...

(+ VN, Oct.)

coalition

Vs. begins with

movement -

my reaction for

action

(vs. C-II)

"first making

JCS x? - (?)

6. Perfect failure.

7. But not permanent

R+O L W T F - 2nd fleet - invasion plans '61 due?

9. JFK takes responsibility (But finds Brill, Dalh,
Luigo in RFK to SG. (AG: like Mitchell)

4 in Horizontal -
"apparent"

to my impression

3-9-15

4

Munich, K postpos Berlin presence
by a year or more — quantity SS-7's

kind.

(thrust to Euro ~~and~~ avg —
less for min det (against US
ROC FI, holding FU over Berlin

John — Berlin IRBMs

(like had delayed, after promise ⁵⁸

when NATO didn't have min det w.

SU response to US FU over Berlin '58:

no ^U ICBMs in '58 (plans)

only way to provide min det FS threat
to (SU) is response to SU ICBMs and
IRBMs w. Europe is to put IRBMs

(who controls? for USSR?)

but the answer: "provocation."

He doesn't send them — and they're
not needed by 60-61 — + obsolete.

Still, early upset to —
JFK can't get out.

Unborn (in Berlin) just when they
deployed —

RFR to me:
"Vimma?"

JFK is C-II — "Provocation" "We did
"But that was before." !

3-4-15 5

K has been confronted with US FS
threats =

Miljartis (big speech abroad
to US public)

UPK - Gloop

((Others) Gm Nelson (K dinner
not known? earlier)

like this in '58

Some reference to the;
1 RBE in C. m.

This solves 2 problems

But can it be done?

- Will C. accept?

What C wants, is other

Other alliance; wanted;

(two more? new regional
refuge)

- Can it be done short?

no - blockade

- " " " covertly? misuse

Benetab no

- Referred in C. m.?

Per time Polg-oro

(S. 194, 196) (Melan. 1955)

Succession planning:

3-9-15 6

1. With opponent?

Morgan EGZ

1st 2/3rd

Northwood - Mander '9/12' de Soto

2nd reference

9/12

PRK

after
K. de Soto

Article passed for mission:

Print

Cyprus No.

Comparison of 1962

Succession planning Oct 1 - 20th

Reports -

Elvies:

1964

Morgan deadline Oct 30 - before
(Press planning) election of
Nov '64

see 1964 de Soto
1965

press planning Sept. 25

CWB & Blair

CNith - Poland

Morgan:

Agnes

NOT HERE?

3-4-15

7

Q: (half) class IC regional priority? ²⁾ presence
in Berlin

b) after election?

It did focus JFK
in Berlin, not Bonn.
But, Refunds:

(My high: also JFK
to several minutes
of it?)

But that assumes
JFK didn't want
to invade?

and why: line?

Belarus

I want some for being:
It did create F.A.

McLone (after SAMS...) [Myths!]

Deadline of UN meeting -
still time to do it after
SAMS!

I warned

NIES

1st (Cyrus): What if? (Not, "in the future")

Left. Statement

("I should have said...")

Baron: "If we thought..."

"Had to be a crisis?"

NO - Niles, Ruck, Jones/Dett, (JFK, 800)

McK, Tyler - no difference (US info: BUT

NO SO FS (100,000) = 100,000, 5000 not interested

3-9-15

8

+ Legal = Legal, ICBS

How news arrived?

When observed?

McK - double for attack

VCs don't agree,

except - for one

Dem

{
Ocherson
Fallout
Ronald

(↓ "analyse" — no crisis.

Wf a crisis?

not no chance of it see if we
attack

Col 3-4-15

9

(How much JFK be pushed?)

Bals lies, (he was lied to)

NIES

(McLure discredited: Rank

us. DDP

not knowing anyone!

Handoff DDO press.
(NR)

"JGO rules"! Band to be crushed!

(But not if F.A.!

not against up IRBMs

[or ten more!]

-Band to be seen!

SI, photos, refugees
T, K

[Q: did JFK want to win, or not?

App: and with great expense?

Mongoose (but it wasn't
working)

Northwards

I don't know

K right to assume; ^{McN}

But JFK looks reluctant!

prop all waste;

"Unless miracle: If we find

(as in C-I war the then is true " But U-2 still down!

'One thing, opposition

McN: If wins

pressing, plans going on!)

OR...

Continuing be dead!

C-II 3-9-15 10

VFK print mention

= Political

1944!

-most altered

(Go. McN, Taylor (!))

are th sp?

Can we get all? NO

(Admission!

as in Berlin, bank?)

(I say):

Burke, Berlin JFK some

(wrong?)

not K thing:

but if he had been

attacked?

KL didn't plan

VFK: $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ (no, for K

En -

CH 3-4-15 11

Ex Comm

- $\frac{1}{2}$ (Comm) don't know Monroe
or PSTLM
or mission (PSTLM)
no discussion

Agreement of K waters
or US Convention
= Big Stone

- show JFK potential to Refuse
American structure Refuse Biller
-?
Confront VCS with registers

JFK & RFK, McN:

McN

blowdown:

"First step" *
(NO - delay means
no attack)

(WWR - "clock ticking" ... me vs.)

Johnson, VCS: NO solution
great Sat & Sun

VCS on Sunday: NO: Lyndon

* Was it a warning? "Allow a very ant?"
He and want the way for K. no. other

But loyalty was on K's side - what of his key

target?

we could attack + win

(question was:

us - 17K - 40K SU

+ 240K Gens?

more than VN

(expect for blockade,

island,

close to US)

= no VN

(under VFR;

if N, both!

(VN leaving!)

1961

but ²VF expected Tung + Bien

(b) IRBOM off after Oct 25 is no

(US don't come!

also-bolts!

Wanted SIO?!

Kelly, you

VCS right
about
that!

blockade (VFR - Gen, Wed or Thurs?

= as attack

(history of Gen has planning for a year ('61')!

Gen has given the message: the red line

Gen has crossed! Long Rao!

if attack
from Oct 16-25th

Kelly prob. right - no SU motion in Bien
or IRBOM!

(VFR - offered - approximation of 15th?)

But ~~if~~ didn't know: if on MBMs, or Berlin only
 of didn't know: ~~if~~

"600 M" - Europe annihilated
 - SU + China " (good!)
 "10 M" - 1 or 2 US cities (10+ SU ICBMs
 5 1+ MRBMs
 → SLOP IL-28's
 (good?)

of didn't know (nor did JFK!) or RFK
 or CIA
IC did

1. too much

2. 40K SU troops

3. Subversion / loss of control
 + Castro At "
 4. SUB NUC TORPEDGES
 5. Nuc Winter

Mitrogn origin:

(= after 1964-65
 for US! not for
 since!

i.e. nuc winter is

not a difference of degree

to US + R + E + China - North Hemisphere

since 1965: MAD (not before that: not

(if ^{+US} E had known, the unreliable Berlin or C-II, without nuc
nuc Type I out in 1958-62 winter

not was US Type II credibility (expects, loss of control - credibility
 not because US is kill everyone, but because it kill US (not a certainty)

Ans. Robert

14
3-9-15

FS has been inspired for attention
all along, not because of pollutants,
or cobalts,
but because of smoke lifted to
stratosphere

(NOT just by fires,
but because of eruptions)

(an effort we didn't know about as
late as WWII;

must have occurred in WWII,
but only (one at a time)

3 big and 2-3 small altitudes
in 2 years (1943-45)

If (Dyson) we had recognized
in creating friction long time —

(the winter in 1945?)

64 in Japan; 50-100 in Germany:

We didn't want Anake as effort
in WWII (not better in stratosphere)

(not enough in stratosphere to effort climate!)

& in particular, we paid no attention
to (heat vertically) lifting of Anake!

15
3-9-15

Surprise
The surprise of JCS would not have been
"end of bombing, etc"

as better to (still happen?)

of night
care

and JER speaks of (to PER?)

on "daughter died"

No - except in DC

just like ent:

she was!

as "red"

(No - but Daddy informed

as, defeated

as not submitted

(52-52)
LBU '68

and

let: US sterned to death

(If already were ready to unite off Em,
North Am. union US: European!

But years: note of wound should come

(Santha Memorandum; + all of frank
taken (taken already)

(wound to be, frank before from

follows; in one winter, winds

affected?

3-9-15 16

X

What does McN say (John, Oct 18?)

— "Must be deal on Italy — at least
(Italy, UK?
Guatemala?)

(MKK & RFR — and inner circle
and RFR? (Oct 27)
O'Donnell?)

(Who wrote? Skinn?
+ RFR Strommen & Balf Oct. 16
(in Oct. 20 840.))

(VFR finds Strommen, after press — Bartlett
converse not only to "private
deal" — no effort

but his secret workings (RFR; RFR?)
to make public trade —
after publicly denying this —
into north
RFR
to 20 yrs)

— RFR — Bolshakov Oct. 20 (speech
in 21st?)

1c Balf : private private
— to know

— lies in UN? (Wed? Wed?)

— (Wf not open, the — as Castro wanted?)

(Hyp: No continuing plan, for if decisional long!)

= no cons; no use of SFR; no agreement of too much
on 50 knots

? Strommen = UN?
before blockade?

(anno not all in
Cuba told Balf right; for

May 1970

What if Soviet announced to R -

just the one security, or else
(attack?)

We could announce openly,
take drift offensive, openly

(let: Lenin denial:

not ready to reverse
denial?

Q: US blockade on Waf Oct 22,

make no sense; allows R to
break down, let off openly (while
sent ultimatum - also with (private trials)
dispute legality of R's stream?) NO
permission.

only sense: concern "about at of saloon"
for subsequent public trial - (WPB on
after NATO) unusual / US
(not VCS) McN?

88.
(date of discovery?)

(Deal w. from: Oct refugee)

Cur-I for control:
= electing year:

89 as the trial:

1964 election

(deal of election '62?

1980

Rogers: Kelman - Humala

(Ward's book) N in 1972?

efforts? Rufus will

seem, at UFR "stand tall"
(like B&D - OBL) (GWN - Stacy / Aug)

3-9-15 18

(By John, Oct. 18, JFK-McK foramen anterior:
pubic trunk

X Oct 20⁻²¹ RFR-Balchukov

X John? Kippin Column
reaction?

Fri? (John?) Seali denotes the

Dale: RFR-JFK-Dale above calf
(Dale intention?)

Saturday: K proposes
(drafted series than
Fri night

Fri night - not overseeing different
(I read
McK-shedrick)

X Sunday Sat morning:
JFK farewell

McG B again
(JRFK/O'Donnell)

X JFK backs down for immediate attention to U-2
(UCS reaction?!))

X but commits to "draft one"
JFK appears to reject (SIMPLY IGNORES, DOESN'T
RESPOND
K's trade.

he really, postpones

X

JFK ^{three} ~~times~~ effort ult.

(double thrust - efforts
thrust on AA & effort

(R knows, was)

Alford
Powell/O'Donnell

late private trunk

+ 48-hr ult was to be

X

Reck, 20 yrs
later: KEY

- prepar trunk before 48 hrs
up. (ULT BUUFF)

X

JFK is claim to Alford

"letter of death and the

X

1 day - the was his & McK's bottom side
from Oct 17-18, menty - from K ^(in BUUFF before of letter)

and from VCS, Rephes
(had to be)

over

(until after black and white,
Oct 24-26)

and to four down K,
probably

(if conflict and over man?)

X

Thurs Oct 44;

X

K tells Premier, most without -
but on what basis?

(GFR-Berlin, Dub-Jermy, Liffen-Jermy ("WH"))

Fri night: maybe first no-revision
X revision since perhaps

By Sat morning, however:

Although public talk is very,
K has heard.

X

Castro "wild" letter

AND FLY IN LARGE US
FORCE TO BERLIN WITH
TAC NUKS (NO PH2S)

X

Castro ignorance of real
balance
(think SU has 200 ICBMs,
not 10;
FS > 2000 tanks)

K not clear, perhaps: That
Castro advises K to FS

Hyp: GIVE US
NATO RELIER-FORCE
TO BERLIN TAC NUKS
TO "DEFEND THEMSELVES"
UNDER ATTACK

only if revision
(= arms)

X - doesn't realize, K does not
want war in Cuba
now going up missile

But K overstates:

X

C has no intention of
advising K to end crisis;

X - C ready to sacrifice
all Cubans, rather
than be occupied

as for NATO
recommended US
FU of Berlin
blockade;
knowing that
must continue,
limit
American!

as for NATO
recommended US
FU of Berlin
blockade;
knowing that
must continue,
limit
American!

* - it's best, give that
he knows we will leave
- "revision will prevail"
(see Marx (advised))

means total annihilation of GFR: MASXDX

- want ~~stop~~ AA
(opposes B SAU
- was ~~last~~ brief SAU?

- doesn't dream of:
- give in
- don't give arms
- ANNOUNCE NO

But

3-9-15 21

X

K knows (can expose RFR ult.)

that Castro will find AA at Moscow

(which US ^{JFK} is creating)

K thinks
Castro!

—— SAM shoots
Castro firing

(high rate shots right)
not U-2

X

E. [SUB DUEL (with know)
U-2 on SU

False alarm

(What if P. false alarm
during crisis? What?
Hartthoff)

MMs of (loose control; no PAL)
Vandenberg firing

X

K says must assume, JFK will react
to another shoot-down

(He doesn't really want to show this
from RFR. But with RFR all we —

X

— I've already assumed (banned?)

K didn't not find SAM, as Castro ⁴⁴ Centros —

X

— RFR focuses on on what K must have

banned (can though I didn't know for sure —

— he couldn't prevent US attack

occuring on Sunday, & losing his missiles and

^{17K} some troops (I didn't know JFK!)

— unless he gets out early morning
(top to Moscow! JFK's of US ^{UFR} assessments)

(too late? to answer to JFK (Good

(during ^{early} Sat night, DC time (Sunday morning),
that: (Kerouac memo) (SU books); Castro not contacted:

(Sat morning, K arrives,

US CALL OFF RECON
OR DON'T RESPOND

~~this Friday night, DC - Extra books with~~

K/letter, ~~not~~
#/E-mail upbt

Early night DC

K letter transmitted,
translated, work: Bobby
(Castro and W.E. to
K, appears in memo
WHEN K
GATE?)

Sat morning DC

U-2 shot-down

(not confirmed till later afternoon)

K "Sunday" letter received, only

Sat evening, DC

JFK reply

JFK - Reak

RRR - Qab

JFK - oforal

Sunday morning DC

JFK gets quit

(after church)

JFK - JCS

Sat morning Moscow

K arrives to And Lenin
note on table (with Fri?
John?)

late ft,
Sat evening, Moscow

Sunday morning, Moscow

K arrives to quit - 1/5?

K gets RFR

Sunday evening, Moscow

K answers quit

Next crisis? El-28's, isolation (Kerouac removed)

(+ JFK - Maxwell, Shybolt,
Polans, Rossoni:

de Gaulle - no UK

JFK: IRBHS not of Gorb

R - JFK - CTB / PTB (Gen Univ.)

(continued pressure on Cuba?)

MORALS

MORALS:

- X ✓ 1) - Both bluffs
Neither will "allow" conflict (if -
assuming - if can avoid it, by deal
on other's terms
- X ✓ 2) - Neither willing to take a significant chance
of conflict

NOT AFTER; (mid
AND NOT BEFORE (even)

BLOCKADE (a
long time)

- ✓ (UFR - experts for blockade ¹⁹⁶⁴ - be
willing to be seen taking a risk;
which K claims is "certain" (SCUFF)
, (if UFR believed that, he would
not have done it;
K boat - war is not total surprise,
but unclear, relying
✓ (VCS did not expect conflict - (now ^{of 652} not 4)
but would have understood it! "K CAN'T"
Q: (W/ McN/VFR not told, Sunday night?
Hope there would be conflict?

X
1953
1953
-892
173
1051
108
199
#615

- ✓ 3) - Yet each felt compelled to go to the
to get better terms, without taking over
nails - for Wed, Thurs, Friday [K and
"wounded both" - not definite
offer - Friday ^{noon} morning Moscow time, when
arrives

GET THIS! TIMES